G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
L.R. 12-1890
L.R. 59-1894
LR57-1895
Later references.

1895-96
~~191~~ .
L.R)

File No. 59.

Serial Nos. 1—17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—17

Part B for March 1898

SUBJECT.

Readjustment &c of Hobblies in the Bangalore Taluk.

W D 88—GPE—50,000—22-7-16

Inclosed

Bangalore
10. 2. 96.

My dear brother,

In the matter of your proposal to fill up the vacancy in Dodda ballapur I think it is better to allow Gopalaswamiengar to remain in Nelamangala for the present. He has been there only a few days ago and it is in contemplation to transfer all Nelamangala villages to Bangalore Taluq.

You may send Gopalaswamiengar to relieve Sreenivasa Rao of Dodda ballapur at once. It is possible that Gopalaswamiengar may be sent elsewhere to suit other arrangements which are also in the contemplation

Course for the Sanction of Govern-
ment.

Please Submit a list of
villages which may be transferred
to the Bangalore Taluq in connection
with Devanagatta. It will be
necessary to give attention among
others to keep the Channagiri Chan-
in Each and to make the Head
in Compact block.

Yours very Sincerely
Sd P. N. Krishna Murthy

P. N. Purnaiya Esqre B. A
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

Contemplation of Government that this need not delay Gopaulchingam's going to Doddaballapur. His posting to that Taluq as Amildar and Magistrate will be at once notified.

It is not convenient to send Raghavendra Rau as Revenue Sheristedar. Apart from other considerations involved in the question there is that of pay and it is best that you should have one who will be content to begin with one hundred Rupees. Raghavendra Rau is not willing to go on that pay. You may therefore make local arrangements and submit them in due

was and Ketch. I had meant
to have for dinner this
time Visit the Society,
but find busy at an early
date.

Yours truly
J. Macnamara Jr.

11 March 1876

A. Hunter Esq. R.S.

Bangalore

10 ? 96

Dear Sir

You know it is under contemplation to provide the Villages involved in Hemavathi Scheme, the Bangalore Water. Please work out the details with due consideration to Share-holders' charges and let me have them D.O. as early

as possible

Yours truly

M. Duncan

A. Macnamara Esqr

15-2-90

My dear Dr

Have you written
at the address
for Number 9
Mayo & Buccaleuch
Place Hemmeal
& Dobbs Ferry?
Got a enquiry
for a property
there

the matter hath
to would appear to be
finally settled
before the Dewan
when I & Mrs
Bellenie
yours sincerely
M Russell
& Mrs Bellenie

Revenue Deptt

Office Note V,

Submitted for orders

Referred:

Letter No. 2318/17 dt 1st August 1897, from the Dy Commr, Bangalore District.

The Dy Commr, Bangalore District, proposed the transfer to the Bangalore Taluk, of certain villages now attached to the Nelamangala & Doddballapur Talukis, in connection with the Hesaraghatta water Supply Scheme and the transfer of certain villages from Devanhalli to Doddballapur Taluk, & from Bangalore to Devanhalli. The 2nd Councillor called for a map showing the portions to be transferred from one Taluk to the other. The Dy Commr has submitted the map (vide No 2318/1739

As required by Govt order, the Dy Commr proposed the readjustment of Hoblis of the Bangalore Taluk. But he was requested to report whether the transfer of the villages above referred to would in any way affect the re-arrangement of the Hoblis (vide No 1756 & R 7166-97 13-8-97). The Dy Commrs reply is still due - May this be awaited, before submitting the above papers -

Noted, awaited. The Finance Report is awaited 26/8/97 H 26/8/97

The Dy Commrs report since received. Shows that the transfe

of the villages above referred to will not affect the proposed arrangement of taluks in the Bangalore Taluk.

But the Dy Commr should say to what taluks the villages proposed to be transferred from Doddaballapur and Nelamangala Taluks should be added — The proposals now made & their effect are indicated below: —

Proposal

(1) 120 villages having an aggregate area of Rs 15,922-12-0 to be transferred from ~~Doddaballapur~~ ~~Nelamangala~~ to the Bangalore Taluk —

Result —
Out of its total Rev of Rs. 1,66,190, the Devanhalli Taluk loses Rs 8880-9-7 in favor of the Bangalore Taluk —

(2) 17 villages having an aggregate area of Rs. 10,847-6-4 to be transferred from Nelamangala to the Bangalore Taluk.

Out of its total Rev of Rs. 13,596, the Nelamangala Taluk loses Rs. 8,044-13-2 in favor of the Bangalore Taluk —

(3) 7 villages having an aggregate area of Rs. 3826-9-11 to be transferred from Devanhalli to the ~~Bangalore Taluk~~ Doddaballapur Taluk.

Out of its total Rev of Rs. 18,606 the Devanhalli Taluk loses Rs. 3526-15-4 in favor of the Doddaballapur Taluk

4. The Dy Comm^r states in his letter no. 369 dt 5/9/97

1. Nagadasanahalli
2. Muddanahalli
3. Gantiganahalli
4. Kayenguttahalli

- Salgame -

that the marginally noted
4 villages first proposed
to be transferred from Banga
-lore to the Devanhalli
Taluk is not necessary.

The portions of the Doddaball
pur and Nelamangala
Taluks proposed to be trans
-ferred to the Bangalore Taluk
& the portion of the Devanhalli
Taluk proposed to be trans-
-ferred to the Doddaballapur
Taluk are shewn in differ
Colours in the accompanying
Sketch.

Submitted for orders

16/10/97

Office Note

Subject. Regarding the transfer of certain villages to the Bangalore Taluk from ~~Ramanagaram~~ Nelamangala and Dod-ballapur Talukas etc in connection with the Hesaraghatta water Supply project

Bhairati village from the
Grand Trunk Road to the Hooghly
Taluk, on the ground that
these villages are deep indent-
-ations into the body of the
Bangalore Taluk.

1. The Dy Commr does not
say to what Taluk the afore-
said 4 villages should be
transferred.

2. The Dy Commr states that
in the re-adjustment of Taluks
now proposed, every
endeavour has been made
to abstain from needlessly
breaking up the Chambogi
circles, except in the case of
only 3 villages in which
individual interests have been
sacrificed to future con-
-venience and territorial
Symmetry; and requests
that ~~[redacted]~~ the above pro-
-posals need not be put off
on account of the general
revision of the Shekhdari
charges contemplated by
Govt in Clause (11) of para

of Govt Proc. No. 11706 A dt
Mis. 7. 35-96
30th April 1897.

It is submitted that the
Statement C submitted by
the Dy Commr, Shimoga,
the re-arrangement of
taluks as given, rectifi-
-cation of some errors
in some places.

Map D submitted herein
does not clearly show
the position of the taluks
as now proposed. Further
map with all the taluks
shown in different
colours as now proposed
may be useful.

3. In connection with the
Hesaraghatta water Supply
Project, the Dy Commr
has proposed the transfer to
the Bangalore Taluk, of
7 villages from the Nela-
-mangala Taluk and
10 villages from the Doddaba-
-llapur Taluk. (Please See File

@ Please See former correspon-
-dence marked as Statement
-ment B-

× P.no. 10

No 166 of 1893-94. in which the above subject is dealt with.) In reply to an enquiry from this office, that officer states that the transfers of these villages will not affect the re-arrangement of hoblis already referred to. However, it would be better to ascertain from him to what hobli he would propose the 37 villages to be transferred.

In the event of the transfer of villages proposed by the Dy Comm^r in connection with the Hessarghatta water works being sanctioned the Dy Comm^r may be asked to submit a revised statement showing the re-arrangement of Hoblis including all the villages proposed to be newly transferred to the Bangalore Taluk.

If approved, the opinion of the Survey Supdt may also be obtained on the above proposals —

The papers may

be submitted to
Director of Statistics
in connection
with the revised scheme
of Shekdari service

VR
16/10/97

Submitted to
Secretary for
Lands VR
16/10/97

5.

No. 3783
R. 1184

Forwarded to the Director
of Agriculture, Kiambu with a request
that he will be good enough
to state whether the reappoint-
ment of the holders in
question came under the
consideration of the
Committee which recently
sat at Nyeri under
his Presidency, and if so
with what result.

[illegible]
20/10/97

6.

The duties of the Committee
have not yet ended
for [illegible] can therefore

Rat ni a jeskan t
furnish details o
Agriculture in formation
on the subject.

7. As regards Article
on the Bengalese Tabl
the Committee have
Considered that a
Registering of the
Villages Ottomi Alter
The Number of Tables
Cutch is an Assessment

8. As regards the
Census of Villages on
Record with the
Bengalese Tank
& the Bengalese Tabl
Such a Census can
be raised on the
Present ground that
it is necessary that
all the Bengalese
Tank & Cattlement
Area Census be taken
the year in which the
Bengalese Tables -

9 The Committee

have been consulting
with their Solicitors
and there is no good
reason for the Transfer
of any Villages but
Crossbeck into the
Kesingdale Bank
from the Leeds
Bank -

Thanking You
2 Nov 1897

10.

Kept in Court pending
any discrepancies
arising, Leeds
Yes & Settlor
Further information
on all the Points
raised in this letter

8-11-97

CS

Draft Rec'd
4/11/97

11. Further information was called for, pointing out discrepancies and omissions.

Mir office nos. 4458 & 14.1.97
C-1413

& no 6611 & 20.1.98. Encl. 11 &
A2190

P. Nos. 12 and 14.

17 from Nelamangala
20 from Doddaballapur
7 from Devanhalli
44

1 Bidarahalli from Hoskote
2. Kudlu
3. Rayasandra
4 Kayamgutta
Chikkanahalli
} " Anekal

5. Kayamgutta
Hegganhalli
} " Magadi
5

In reply, the Dy Commr. submits the statement rectifying the discrepancies and Supplying the omissions in the statement he has included ~~the~~ the villages to be transferred to the Bangalore Taluk from Nelamangala, Doddaballapur and Devanhalli Taluks in connection with the Kasaraghatta taluk and certain other villages proposed to be transferred from ~~the taluk~~, Hoskote and Anekal Magadi Taluks in connection with the re-arrangement of Hoblies of the Bangalore Taluk. The re-arrangement of Hoblies, and the transfer of villages from other Taluks, as now finally proposed, are shewn in the accompanying statement, the red ink entries

are the additions proposed
to the Bangalore Taluk.
Map marked B shows
the re-arrangement of
hobblies as now proposed
including the villages
to be transferred from
other Talukas —

Submitted for orders as to whether
the Subsidy Establishment may be
Considered on the subject.

15/2/98

In connection with
the Sheldon service
Scheme, the President's
final report was received
the other day. Please
note below how the
proposed Hobbis stand
when compared with them
as adopted in the Scheme

15/2/98

Submitted.

The President's report
does not contain in-
formation on the above
point. The President
states that neither the
map illustrating the
boundary of each hobby

* vide paras 30 & 31 of the
report —

as ~~xxxxx~~ proposed by the Committee, nor Statistical Statement showing for each hobly the ~~xx~~ area, villages yet which ~~have~~ been received from the Dy Commr Bangalore District. He however submits a Statement compiled from information available in the office, which does not tally with the Statement attached to this Memo as regards the present no of villages of the Bangalore Taluk. The report does not deal with the villages Connected with the Hesaraghatta Lake proposed to be transferred to the Bangalore Taluk, nor with the villages proposed to be transferred from other Talukas —

Submitted for Secretary's orders
16/2/68
16/2/68

Conduct Certificate Revenue
4
18/12/98

As directed above, the Supdt of Rev Surveys was written to, and the Tahsildar had been sent to him. He has not given any opinion as regards the proposed re-adjustment of Taluks in the Bangalore Taluk. As regards the transfers of villages from other Taluks, he states that Kaggunatha Heggunahalli village in the Magadi Taluk may be transferred to the Bangalore Taluk, as it is surrounded by the villages of that Taluk, that the villages of Kudlu, Rayasandra and Kaggunatha Bhadrandra

× No. 7945 D, 25/12/98
R 2614
P. No. 15

No. 610 D, 9-3-98
P. No. 16.

Note. He does not seem to be in favour of the transfer of these 4 villages to the Bangalore

of the Anekal Taluk Bhairathi village of the Ho- Taluk are not entirely surrounded by the village of the Bangalore Taluk but they abut irregularly on the boundary of that Taluk, and that if the transfer of the other 44 villages from the Taluks of Doddaballapur, Nelamangala and Devanhal connected with the Hesar ghatta land has been decided upon as being necessa he has nothing to say against in this matter. He further states that the Taluk maps which have been published at great expense, although not rendered quite useless, will be greatly impaire in value and utility if that transfer of villages from one Taluk to anoth should only be made

the army Headquarters.

Secretary

Rabindranath.

37.11.

.69/3/98

Dated, Shantiniketan

22/3/95.

Received back today

& Posted to Newyork.

3-10-99

in camp

pore

7/10/99.

01


Part of
Dodballapur Taluk
B
Part of
Chansandra
Kakol
M. Medhalli
Byata
M. Kakol A. Keri
M. Mallanpalya
Shankoganahalli
M. Basvapur
Harohalli
Shitkempanhalli
Chikdevanpur
Dibbur
M. Byatya A. Keri
Kolrayhalli
Jodi
Gondiholli
M. Ramendrapalya
Linganhalli
Madappanhi
Kalenhalli
(K)
Krishnarajpur
(K)
Mutkadhalli
Shikoti
Mallapanhalli
Lingrajpur
K
Mablipur
(Jodi)
Kondshettihalli
BANGALORE TAL
Part of
Nelmangal
Taluk
A
Mutkur
Hesaraghatta Tank
Dasenhalli
Avgarkhandpur
Hesargatta
Maddagirihi
Tumrasanhi
Kodagihirmalapur
Lingrajsagar
Kasgatpur
From Tumkur
Hurali Chikkanhi
Byaladkere
K
Tarmanhi
Kaltimmanhi
Kumbarhi
Kempapur
K
Guniagrahar
K
Soldevanhi
To Bangalore
BANGALORE TAL
Part of
Devenhalli Taluk
C
DEVANHALLI TALUK
Kadatammale
Nellakunti
Kursandra A Keri
Suradhenupura
Shroramanhi
Nagdasanhi
Sadenhalli
Lakshmisagar
Muddanhi
Adiganhalli
Gartisenhalli
D
Part of
Bangalore Taluk

B

Serial No	Present number of Hobblies.	No of villages in each Hobli			Revenue
		Govt	Inam	Total	
1	Yelahanka	46	31	77	28859
2	Krishnarajpur	20	13	33	16502
3	Begur	27	11	38	21,224
4	Varthur	30	4	34	23,134
5	Agara	39	6	45	21,087
6	Kengeri	65	22	87	45,547
7	Yeswantpur	26	16	42	24,120
8	Kasaba	20	4	24	10,446
	Total	273	107	380	1,95,919

Note. The entries in red ink are the proposed additions to the Bangalore Taluk

Serial No	No of Hobblies as now proposed	No of villages in each Hobli			Revenue	Remarks
		Govt.	Inam	Total		
1	Yelahanka	33	22	55	22458	
2	Krishnarajpur	28	19	47	26587	
	*To be transferred from the Kasaba Taluk	*1		1		*Bharati village
		29	17	48		
3	Begur	36	9	45	27507	@Kudlu Ranganandra
	To be transferred from Anekal	@2	1 *	3		*Chandranda
		38	10	48		
4	Varthur	35	7	42	27,386	
5	Agara or Uttarahalli as now proposed	35	10	46	21,456	
6	Kengeri	37	11	48	25578	
7	Yeswantpur	29	16	45	20,836	@Heggunhalli
	To be transferred from Magadi	"	@1	1		
		29	17	46		
8	Kasaba	40	13	53	24,111	
9	Hesaraghatta hobli consisting of 20 villages to be transferred from Dodballapur, 17 from Nelamangala & 7 from Devanhalli in connection with Hesaraghatta Nad	33	11	44	20952	
	Total	309	120	429	2,16,871	

Name of Kobli	Present no. of villages. Gorl.	Inam.	Proposed no. and how the adjustment has been made. Gorl.	Inam.
(1) Yelakanka.	46.	31	33 - Retained 31; Transferred to Yeravantpur 15; Transferred from Yeravantpur 2; Result 33	22 - Retained 20; Transferred to Yeravantpur 10; Transferred to Kasaba Kobli 1; Transferred from Krishnarajpur 2; Result 22
(2) Yeravantpur.	26	16	29 - Retained 6; Transferred to Yelakanka 2; Do-to Krishnarajpur Kobli 3; Do-to Kasaba Kobli 13; Transferred from Yelakanka 15; do — do-Kengeri 8; Result 29	16 - Retained 1; Transferred to Krishnarajpur 7; Transferred to Kasaba 8; do-from Yelakanka 10; do-do Kengeri ⊗; Result 16
(3) Krishnarajpur.	20	13.	28 - Retained 19; Transferred to Kasaba Kobli 1; Do-from Yeravantpur 5; Do-from Varthur 3; Do-from Kasaba 1; Result 28	19 - Retained 11; Transferred to Yelakanka 2; Do-from Yeravantpur 7; do-from Kasaba 1; Result 19
(4) Kasaba Kobli.	20	4.	40 - Retained 16; Transferred to Krishnarajpur 1; Do-to Begur 3; Do-from Yeravantpur 13; Do-from Agara 10; Do-from Krishnarajpur 1; Result 40	13 - Retained 3; Transferred to Krishnarajpur 1; Do-from Yeravantpur 8; Do-do Yelakanka 1; do-from Agara 1; Result 13
(5) Varthur.	30	4.	35 - Retained 27; Transferred to Krishnarajpur 3; Do-from Agara 5; Result 35	7 - Retained 4; Transferred from Agara 2; do from Begur 1; Result 7
	142	68	165	77

⊗ The name of Ubangavadi village which has been transferred from Kengeri Kobli has been written twice in the list of Proposed Kobli —

Hobli.	Present No. of villages.		Proceedings of villages since the adjustment has been made —	
	Govt.	Inam	Govt.	Inam
(6) Begur taluk.	142	68	165	77
	27	11	36 — Retained — 23; Transferred to Uttarahalli 4; Do-from Agara 10; Do-from Hasaba 3; Result — 36	9 — Retained — 8; Transferred to Uttarhalli — 2; Do — Varthur 1; Do-from Agara 1; Result — 9
(7) Agara-or New Uttarahalli.	37	6.	35' — Retained — 11; Transferred to Begur — 10; Do-to Varthur 8; Do-to Kasaba 10; Do-from Kengeri 20; Do-from Begur 4; Result — 35'	10. — Retained — 2; Transferred to Begur — 1; Do-to Varthur 2; Not accounted for — 1; Transferred from Kengeri 6; Do — from Begur 2; Result 10
8. Kengeri.	65'	22.	37 — Retained — 37; Transferred to Uttarhalli 20; Do-to Yesvantpur 8; Result 37	11 — Retained — 5; Transferred to Uttarhalli 6; Do-to Yesvantpur 5; Result 11
Total.	273	107	273	107

The map A shows that the village of Devarthi ramanahalli, which has not been shown in the hobli, and is now in Begur hobli —

7 R. Nov 1866

Rec. 6.30 Rept. 7 Nov 1866 Answered 8th Nov 1866 Sent 8th Nov 1866

No. 66

(Pd. 2)

With reference to the Deputy Commissioner's D. C. asking me to make proposals to transfer all the villages round Hesseraghatta Reservoir and those through which the channel from the said Reservoir to Bangalore town runs, to the Bangalore Taluk, I beg to propose as follows.

Seventeen villages in Nelamangala Taluk as shewn in map and marked A may be taken to form part of the Bangalore Taluk. From the Bangalore Taluk the villages marked B in map may be taken over. These villages have been recommended chiefly on account of their falling within the water shed and immediate drainage area of Hesseraghatta tank including the Kogila and Kakal tanks and chiefly to give a proper contour to the Bangalore

Bangalore Taluk. By this
arrangement all the lands lying
between Chick Banavar station
and the watershed of Kakal
tank will form a portion of
Bangalore Taluk. Due regard
in making over these villages
to Bangalore, has been paid
except in one case not to
split the Shanbhogue charges.
In the matter of the exceptional
case a Lekkar and godi village
are included in the charge
of the Shanbhogue of Byata.
As these villages situate
beyond the direct drainage
area of the Hosaraghatta
and Byata tanks they might
be allowed to remain in the
Devanhalli Taluk especially
the Shanbhogue has several
brothers who will manage to
carry on the business with-
-out any inconvenience.
All the wet lands under
Sonnenahally tank having
been acquired for the Water
Works

Works might also be added to the Bangalore Taluk. But as the lands of the village stretch into the Doddballapur to a great extent it may not be found expedient to transfer the whole village to Bangalore Taluk.

I embrace this opportunity to bring to your notice some villages belonging to Devanhalli Taluk which just jut into the Doddballapur Taluk and to recommend their transfer from the former to the latter. These are marked C on the map and are situate close to the Railway Station and on the main road to Doddballapur. The four villages marked D form part of Bangalore Taluk and as they just into the Devanhally Taluk and are in the charge of one Shanbhogue they may be transferred to Devanhally.

List showing the revenue of the villages recommended to be transferred

Is offended.

2nd March 1896.

To

The Commissioner

1st Grade Civil Commissioner

Bangalore District

appear under
Kalugala Pulak
not shown on the
sketch while the
village of Kotagalpura
Kotagalpura entered
in the sketch is not
to be found in the
list of villages.
Similarly the village
of Kandegaduwilla entered
in the list under the
Boralakotagalpura Pulak
is not to be shown
found in the sketch.
~~Similarly Pulakotagalpura~~

The survey Boring
of some of the villages
in Kalugala,
Kalugala and
Kotagalpura Pulak
~~is~~ not been entered in
the list.

I am therefore to
request that you will be so
good as to furnish
a Correct list showing
the Boring
of

[Genl. 31.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G. No. 3332
2392
18 NOV. 96
SECRETARIAT'S OFFICE

5

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Revenue Department

Dated 16th November 1896
Despatched 18th
Received

General No.
No. 483

Enclosure No. 2 & a sketch

Under the circumstances represented, I beg to sanction the change of the name of "Halsur Cutcherry" into "Kacha Bangalore"

The proposed change of name is sanctioned

Chief Secy to Govt
20-11-96

20.11.96.
21/11/96.

Sanction - a request a reasonable amount of public money should be spent in order that this valuable property is preserved

22/11/96

Recommended.
24/11

Rev
21.11.96

Submitted for Orders
21/11/96

Chief Secy to Govt

2 Proceedings

(6)

Read:—

Letter No 483 Dated 16th Nov Recd 1896 from the Dy Commr, Bangalore & Inct, proposing that ~~Halsur being the name of one of the hobli of the Bangalore Taluk~~, the name of the Halsur hobli be changed into "Kasba Bangalore", ~~as the proposed Inct~~ as the principal village named Halsur & the bulk of the old Halsur hobli having been included in the C. & M. Station since the Rendition ~~the Halsur hobli~~ & the present name "The Hobli" is a misnomer, productive of inconvenience in connection the misdirecting of letters.

Order thereon:—

The proposal of the Dy Commr is sanctioned, and a Notification will accordingly be published in the Gazette.

2

Government consider it

2. ~~It is also~~ desirable that a re-adjustment of all the hobblies of the Bangalore Taluk should be made, as it is possible that similar deductions & villages have occurred in many other hobblies.

26/11

26/11/96

Secretary

24/11/96

25/11/96

To.

Re Dy Comm^r Bangalore with returning the enclo

2 Drafts for approval

(7)

No. 4801 / R. 1664 dated 26-11-96

30

RD 59-1895 — 5

Notification

It is hereby notified for the information of the public that the ~~name~~ "Halsur hobli", attached to the Bangalore Taluk, ~~has been changed~~ will henceforward be called "Kasba Bangalore Hobli" with effect from 1st December 1896.

For approval

By Order

26-11-96

Secretary

25/11

24/11/96 25/11/96

~~Copy~~ to the Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication in 3 successive issues of the Gazette

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 956
1897 -- 98 R 551
30 JUL. 97
RECEIVED

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Division.

(8)

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Dated 15th July 1897.

Despatched 28th July 1897.

Received

General No.

No. 144

Enclosure No. 2 statements & 2 maps

In reply to Govt Order No. 4500 dated 12th November 1896.

1663 Submits certain proposals in regard to the readjustment of all the holdings in the Bangalore Taluk

Ref
Rev
30/7/97
to Secretary
Submitted for orders.
The D. Commr does not say whether the transfer of lands proposed in his letter No 844 & 26 th June 97 (Taluk No 62 of R. D. No 66 of 1893-94) have been in any way affected the finances of the holdings now reconstituted.
6/8/97 Mr. Meagher Asstt Secy
Mr. Meagher obtained from Mr. Mercer 6/8/97

Ref
Rev
7/8/97

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Fraserpore 28th July 1897

D. No. 144

Encl 2 Statements
& 2 maps

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Bengal,
Rev. and Agl. Department,
Darjeeling.

Sir,

Agreeably to the wishes of the Government conveyed in para 2 of Order No. 4 T.R. dated 20th November 1896, I have the present configuration of the Taluks of the Fraserpore District, and have the honor to submit the following proposition with the object of rectifying the irregularities and errors therein.

2. The Taluks were constituted in time out of mind, and a few were added after the dismemberment of the late Rajegiri Sub-Taluks of Jeshamata, Rangeri and Darjeeur. All the old landmarks of the country are changing, and the area of the tract comprised in the Civil and Military Station has extended further to cover the growing village lands and bigaries. Jeshamata Taluk has received accession of population

The

with reference to the head quarters of the taluk. The following is their abstract.

	Name of hobli	No. of villages	Census			Population
1	Yelahanka	55	22,453	5	8	9,959
2	Krishnarajpuram	47	26,586	13	4	13,344
3	Begur	45	27,507	6	9	12,032
4	Varthur	42	27,336	2	0	10,196
5	Uttarahalli	40	2,465	10	7	3,686
6	Kengeri	48	25,578	1	6	10,502
7	Sarjapur	46	20,836	4	8	8,687
8	Kasaba	52	24,710	2	7	10,654
	Total	380	195,719	7	0	83,039

Every endeavour has been made to obtain as far as possible from necessary breaking up the Shanbhag circles: but in only 3 villages individual interests have been subordinated to public convenience and territorial symmetry.

6. In this connection, your attention is drawn to correspondence ending with your letter No. 8-2/98 dated 23rd June 1891. The taluq extra village of Uttarahalli is situated in the midst of the Begur taluk villages, but belongs to Kengeri. It is about 9 or 8 miles from Bangalore.

X Vide L.R. 12-1890 & 3.

the same.

3. The accompanying statement illustrated by sketch B A shows (1) the names of the present taluks, (2) the number of villages in each, (3) the revenue and population of each component village and (4) the distance of each village from Pattukota city. The taluks are shown in the margin for easy reference

Names of taluks	No. of villages	Revenue				Population	
1. Peddapuram	77	28,855	13	3	0	3,114	
2. Srikakulam	33	16,302	3	3	0	68-4	
3. Gajapur	38	21,223	4	7	0	8036	
4. Vizapur	34	23,134	3	5	0	9627	
5. Chicacole	45	21,057	2	2	0	10,083	
6. Ganjam	37	45,547	5	5	0	15,725	
7. Jeypore	32	24,120	5	4	0	4,348	
8. Kimedy	24	12,445	3	1	0	32,12	
Total	380	193,913	39	30	0	93,639	

4. Statement C contains my proposals for the constitution of the future taluks. It will be seen that the number of taluks will remain the same as at present, as it is not considered desirable to form Chicacole in the place of Ichapur, and the new taluk is proposed to be called Ichapuram. The map D shows how the new taluks will stand

with

Banjaira and about 20 odd miles from Alapakkam. The people of the village are anxious to be added to the 1st named Taluk on account of the inconveniences of going so far as a distance when they have to attend their Taluk Kachéri. The population and revenue of the village are, respectively 53 and 58-0-4. It may therefore be added to the Banjaira Taluk.

7. If you will look at the map of the Banjaira Taluk, you will see 2 deep indentations into its body on the north-east and south-east from Chetpat and Cheyaru, respectively. The former comprises

Villages	Population	Revenue
Kudlu	4	2
Rayadurga		5
Kayampatta	2	3
Chandapura		7

and the latter is made up of the villages of Bhairati, Gudlu Halli, Cheyaru Taluk, of which the revenue and population are, respectively 4 Rs 2 4, and 6 2 0. I will explain as far as possible the irregularities of

of

of the Grazing, I think that an opportunity should be taken to add the above villages to Bangalore, notwithstanding that the Survey maps of the General Talukas will require to be corrected also.

2. I also think that the enforcement of the above provisions need not be delayed for the revision of Rules generally in the District, contemplated in para 4 (b) of Government Order No. R.D.C.-1 Mis. 2. 35-96 dated 30th April 1897.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner.

Sangaree Saint will be entered
as R.S. 82-15-5 and R.S. 85-1-9 re-
spectively. Part of it is subject to
reduction to R.S. 237 and R.S. 98-9-1
respectively when the land will be re-
-maining under cultivation in Jarki
-hali, Jamiabi and Saint Sangaree
Village are finally entered.

5. A further reduction of R.S. 35.7 in
the Rent and Cesses of R.S. 10-3 in re-
-venue of the lands belonging to
Bijla and Saint village as also re-
-quired as proposed. Mahamgala
Saint would, thus be in favour of
Sangaree of R.S. 1-4 in Rent and
Cesses R.S. 3-2 in present revenue,
while and Partipur Saint will
lose in favour of the Sangaree Saint
Rs. 1603. 4. 1 and Rs. 500. 9. 7 and
gain Cesses R.S. 1-10-0 and R.S. 526-15-4
respectively from Samaridi. The
Land will in the then receive Rs.
1413-0 and Rs. 2005 respectively to
be ceded by the Sangaree Saint.

The

the enclosures received with your

dear Mrs Hewitt returned.

Yours truly

Yours most sincerely

Secretary to Commissioner

Comparative statement showing the total increase and decrease applicable

Name of Taluk	Basis as per Survey Settlement			Present Revenue			Add revenue of the villages proposed to be added as per the table						Total					
							Basis			Revenue			Basis			Revenue		
Coimbatore	211905	8	2	166172	9	11	4746	4		35 2 5	15	4	216651	12	2	169717	9	3
Dharapuram	160174	15		135966	5	9							160174	15		135966	5	9
Erode	159786	6	7	118606	12	6	1413	8		940			161199	14	7	119546	12	6
Dharapuram	201190	1		172989	9	1	26896	5	5	17425	6	7	228086	6	5	190415		8

Deputy Commissioner's
office Coimbatore
District.

07. 23 · 7 · 97.
5

Leg. to proposal of transfer

Details for villages proposed to be transferred from Taluk.		Net		Result of the Transfer			
				Increase		Decrease	
Beriz	Revenue	Beriz	Revenue	Beriz	Revenue	Beriz	Revenue
5603 4 1	8880 9 7	201048 8 1	160836 15 8	. . .	. . .	10857 . 1	5383 10 3
11293 1 4	8544 13 2	148881 13 8	127421 8 7	. . .	. . .	11293 1 4	8544 13 2
1746 4 .	3526 15 4	153453 10 7	116019 13 2	. . .	. . .	3382 12 .	2586 15 4
413 8 .	940 . .	226672 14 5	189475 . 8	25382 13 5	16485 6 9		

P. S. Ramachandra Rao Esq
Jas. S. Ramachandra Rao

| | | |

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated July 1897.

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Statement showing the details of Survey Beriz &c in the different villages Passed under the proposal of transfer.

Serial No	Names of villages	Taluq	Government or Inam	Names of Inamdar	Government Village Survey Beriz	Government Village Revenue received	Inam villages Beriz	Total Jodi or Kayamgulla	Total Beriz of each Taluq	Total revenue of each Taluq
1	Katol	Gadag	Govt		2570 12 a	832 13 10				
2	Gaminalli		do	do	634 4 a	334 2 11				
3	Hanimalli		do	do	251 12 a	576 3 7				
4	Chennasandra		do	do	273 a a	293 3 7				
5	Sigeri		do	Linapa	278 4 a	142 12 5				
6	Chikkenandund		do	do	1057 a a	943 a 7				
7	Hirikempanahalli		do	Futtakalsappa	466 a a	285 3 3				
8	Konnettinalli		do	do	280 a a	285 3 3				
9	Linganahalli		do	Dodkalsappa	421 12 a	606 14 a				
10	Huttakadinalli		do	do	522 a a	292 6 6				
11	Kolnagenahalli		Jodi	do	a a a	a a a	125 3 6	122 13 3	247 9 9	166 10 11
12	Lakkipur		Govt	Kirasappa bin Mallanna	1179 4 a	949 3 4				
13	Hanumasagarahalli		do	do	571 9 a	610 12 3				
14	Inaliapura		Jodi	do	a a a	a a a	460 12 a	404 12 9		
15	Kalenahalli		Kayamgulla	do	a a a	a a a	356 6 a	141 5 a		
16	Kiriamarajapur		do	Hanumanthraya	a a a	a a a	18 14 7	20 3 a		
17	Linganapur		Jodi	do	a a a	a a a	11 a a	11 11 a		
18	Kotur		Govt	Annaiya	746 12 a	699 12 10	a a a	a a a		
19	Hanimakkanahalli		do	Hanumanthraya	640 12 a	556 12 9	a a a	a a a		

| | | |

Draft as per orders

(63)

Ltr 24-7-97
8

The Dy Commr.
Bangalore District

Dated. 24.7.97.
24/7/97 In 3rd Sitting

No. Ry 166-98
Correspondence ending 16th

Ref the Dy Commrs letter No 1344 & 26th June 1897 on the Subject of the transfer to the Bangalore Taluk, certain villages Connected with the Hesaraghatta water Supply works, from the Nelamangala and Doddaballapur Taluks and certain villages from Devanhalli to Doddaballapur Taluk & from Bangalore to Devanhalli Taluk.

In returning the enclosures of the above for reference and resubmission has the honor to request that a compact map of all the 4 Taluks, viz Bangalore Doddaballa

Nelamangala and Devanhalli may be submitted, the portions to be transferred from one ~~Taluk~~ to the other ~~Taluk~~ being marked in distinctive colours.

"By order"

23/7/97

Secretary

22/7/97

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL No. 1436
98 L No. 856
AUG '97
OFFICE OF THE

1897

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore,
Genl & Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Dated 17th
Despatched 18th } August 1897
Received

General No.
D No. 231

Enclosure No. 3 & 2 sketches

In reply to Secys docket
(62)
1040 of the 24th July 1897
-166-93

Submits a map showing
Bangalore, Dodballapur
Nunnayala & Devanhalli
Taluks and the portions pro-
posed to be transferred from
Taluk to another, in con-
nection with the Nessargatta
ter supply.

Rec'd 27/8/97

6-93
A.S.
SS
BP
31/8/97

Bangalore District.
Dy Commissioner's Office.

D No. 231
Enc. 3 & 2 Sketches

Bangalore the 5th August 1897

To,

The Secretary to Government
[redacted]
Genl Dept

Sir,

As required in [redacted] No
1090 of the 24th July 1897 [redacted] sub-
97/66-73 mitt herewith, a map sho[redacted] alore,
Yedballapur, Nelamangala [redacted] Kuniballi
Tanks and the portions [redacted] to be
transferred from are tak[redacted] another
in connection with the [redacted] Water
Supply.

2. The enclosure [redacted] the docket
under reply, are refer[redacted] herewith.

I have &c,
-sd-
Asst Secretary.

Your Most Obt Servant,

[redacted]
Asst

Gen. 31]



From The Commissioner
Bangalore

To The Secretary to Govt
of Mysore
Revenue Department

Dated 15th
Despatched 16th
Received September

General No.
No. 369

Enclosure No.

Encls: Orders dt 17.8.97
R7 166-93
dt 13th August 1897.

Submits information
called for in re reas-
sessment of taxables
in the Bangalore Taluk

Rep in Rev
No 9

R7 166 Sp 93 &
other papers returned.
28/9/97

Above Note
submitted
28.
10/9/97

Rev
10.9.97

Revd 3/9/97

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 15th September 1897.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir

With reference to your docket
No. 1756 / R.T. 66-93 of the 13th August 1897, I have
the honor to state that the proposal con-
tained in this office letter No. 1344 of
the 26th June 1897 will not, in any way,
affect the re-arrangement of the hoblis in
the Bangalore Taluk, recommended in this
office letter No. 144 of the 25th July 1897,
but the transfer of the four
marginally noted villages from
the Bangalore Taluk to the
Devanhalli Taluk, proposed in
the former, will not be necessary.

Nagadevanahalli.
Muddenhalli.
Gantiganahalli and
Rayanatta Lakkh-
-anagara.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[signature]
Deputy Commissioner.

rms

Draft in favour

(11)

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore District

Dated 19/11/97

No 6458 & 3 Stat.
R. 1413. 2 Maps.

Correspondence ending with
Dy Commrs letter No 369 &
15th Sept 1897 regarding the
re-adjustment of Taluks
in the Bangalore Taluk.

Referring to the above, have
the honor to observe as follows

1. The Statement & Sketch
the number of villages in
each Hobli as now proposed
requires rectification of
errors as indicated below —

(a) The Inam village of Manganhalli
proposed to be transferred from
Kengeri to Yeswanthpur Hobli
is entered twice. Therefore the
No of Inam villages in the
Yeswanthpur Hobli as now

2. The Dy Commr is requested to State to what Hobblis of the Bangalore Taluk, the ~~following~~ following villages should be transferred :—

(1) Kayenguttha Heggandalli village from Magadi.

(2) Kudlu, Rayasandra and Kayenguttha Chudsandra from the Anekal Taluk and Bhairati village from Hoskote Taluk.

(3) Kumbarahalli & 16 other villages from the Nelamangala Taluk and Kaddal & 19 other villages from the Doddaballapur Taluk, in connection with the Hesaraghatta water Supply proje (vide Dy Commrs letter No. 231 & 17th Aug: 1897,

3. Map ~~No 2~~ ~~Sub Hobli~~ ~~the Dy Commr~~ does not clearly Shew the exact position of the Hobblis as now proposed. The Honble will therefore ~~direct~~ Submit another map Shewing the Hobblis clearly in different

Gen. 31]

1897-98

4192
R 2532

(12)

From The Dy-Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments.

Dated 7th
Despatched 8th } December 1897
Received

General No.
No. 776

Enclosure No. 2 Statements
2 Maps

Secretary's docket No. 4458
R 1413
of the 14th November 1897.

Submits further information regarding the re-adjustment of Tables in the Bangalore Taluk & the portions proposed to be transferred from one Taluk to another in connection with the Hesaraghatta Water Supply.

Vide Govt Order 2-91
10/12. Reply sent.
11/12/97

Draft Sent
14/1/98

Bangalore district
Dy. Commissioner's Office

D. No. 776

Bangalore, 7th December 1897.

Encl 3 Statements
& 2 Maps

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Revenue and General Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 11408/R 1413 of the 19th November 1897, regarding the re-adjustment of hoblies in the Bangalore Taluk, I beg to return herewith duly corrected the statement marked C.

2. As required in para 2 of the docket under reply, I beg to state that Kayangatta, Yeggenhalli village of the Magadi Taluk will have to be transferred to the Ursvant. Sub hobli; Kadlu, Rayasandra and Kayangatta Chudsandra of the Anekal Taluk to the Begur hobli; and Bhuvuti village of the Hoskote Taluk to the Krishnarajpur hobli. Kambarhalli and 16 other villages of the Nelamangala Taluk and Kasol and 19 other villages of the Doddaballapur Taluk should be formed into a new hobly

designated as the Nessargatta hobli on the ground of their being in the Nessargatta valley.

3. All the villages referred to in the above para have now been entered in the said statement C in their proper places.

4. Map D is not intended to shew the boundaries of the proposed Hoblies, but those of the existing Hoblies. Map B shews the proposed Hoblies.

5. The statement prepared in your office has been duly verified and is returned herewith together with statements A and and Maps B and D.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

---

hpk

Agara near Uttarhalli				do from Begur — 4		do do Begur —
				Result — 35		Result 10
(8) Kengeri	65	22	37	Retained — 37 Transferred to Uttarhalli — 20. do to Yeswanthpur — 8	11	Retained — 11 Transferred to Uttarhalli — 6. do to Yeswanthpur — 5
				Result 37		Result 11
Total	273	107	273		107	

* The map D shews that the village of Deevatigeramanahalli, which has not been shewn in the list of proposed hoblies, has to be transferred to Kasaba hobli —

Verified

Municipal Commissioner

For Secretary

Compd

Kasaba Kobli			do. from Krishnarajpur — 1 Result 40	Result 12
(5) Varthur.	30 142	4 68	35. Retained — 27. Transferred to Krishnarajpur-3 do. from Agara — 8. Result 35 165.	7. Retained — 4 Transferred from Agara — 2. do. from Begur — 1 77 Result. 7
(6) Begur Kobli	27	11	36. Retained — 23. Transferred to Uttarahalli — 4 do. from Agara — 10 do. from Kasaba — 3. Result 36	9. Retained — 8. Transferred to Uttarhalli — 2 do — Varthur — 1 do. from Agara. 1 Result — 9
(7) Kengeri Hobli	39	6.	35. Retained — 11 Transferred to Begur — 10 do to Varthur — 8 do. to Kasaba — 10 do. from Kengeri — 20	10. Retained — 2. Transferred to Begur — 1 do. to Varthur — 2. Not accounted for. 1 Transferred from Kengeri — 6

Name of Hobli	Present No: of villages. Government	Inam	Proposed do: and how the adjustment has been made. Government	Inam
(1) Yelahanka	46	31	33. Retained — 31. Transferred to Yeswantpur - 15. Transferred from Yeswantpur - 2. Result 33.	22. Retained — 20. Transferred to Yeswantpur - 10. Transferred to Kasaba Hobli - 1. Transferred from Krishnarajpur - 3 2 Result 22.
2) Krishnarajpur.	26	16.	29. Retained — 16. Transferred to Yelahanka — 2. Do to Krishnarajpur Hobli — 5. Do to Kasaba Hobli — 13. Transferred from Yelahanka - 15. Do - do - Hengeri — 8.	16 Retained — 1. Transferred to Krishnarajpur - 7. Transferred to Kasaba — 8. Do from Yelahanka — 10. Do - do - Hengeri (X) — 5 Result 16.

Yelu				
3) Krishnarajpur	20	13.	28. Retained ——— 19. Transferred to Kasaba hobli — 1 Do- from Yeswantpur — 5 Do- from Varthur — 3 Do- from Kasaba — 1 Result 28.	19- Retained — 11 Transferred to Yelahanka — 2. Do from Yeswantpur — 7 Do- from Kasaba — 1 Result 19.
4) Kasaba hobli	20	4.	40- Retained ——— 16. Transferred to Krishnarajpur - 1 Do - to Begur ——— 3. Do- from Yeswantpur — 13. Do- from Agara — 10 P.T.O.	13. Retained ——— 3 Transferred to Krishnarajpur — 1 Do- from Yeswantpur — 8. Do- ~~Do~~ Yelahanka — 1 Do- from Agara — 1 Result 13.

The name of Manganahalli village which has been transferred from Kengeri hobli has been written twice in the list of proposed hoblies —

Draft for aff.

qr 5

13

To

File No. LR 59-1897

Serial No. 14

The Deputy Commr.
Bangalore District

Dated 2nd Nov 98

No 6677 & 34 Sheets
R. 2180. 24 Sketches.

Dy Commr's letter No 776 dated 7th December 1897 Submitting further information in connection with the proposed re-arrangement of hoblies in the Bangalore Taluk

Referring to the above, has the honor to observe that in the Statements and the tracing received with the Dy Commr's letter No. 231 dated 17th August 1897, Kadatanmale and 6 other villages of the Devanhalli Taluk are proposed to be transferred to the Dodballapur Taluk, while the Statement C and map B now Submitted show that the said villages are

to be transferred to the Bangalore Taluk. What was required in this office No 4458 3/12/97 chiefly the inclusion in the Statement and map, of all those villages that had proposed to be been transferred to the Bangalore Taluk in connection with the re-~~arrangement of the Taluks of this District, and~~ the Hesaraghatta water Supply project. The Dy Comm^r is therefore requested to State whether the aforesaid 7 villages are now proposed to be transferred to the Bangalore Taluk, or whether they are entered in the Statement by mistake.

and a few others recommended on special grounds

in connection with the Hesaraghatta Water Supply

The enclosures are returned, with the Statement & tracing received with Dy Comm^r's no 2313 of 17 8 97

"By Order"

16-1-98

Secretary

15/1/98

14/1/98 15/1/98

5414
1897 Jan 3268

From

The Dy. Commissioner, Office
Bangalore District.

(14)

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept

Dated 26th
Despatched 27th January 18
Received
General No.
No. 980
Enclosure No. 3 & 25/2 others
2 & 2—0

Secy's docket 2[illegible]66??
[illegible]80
26th January [illegible]

Letter from the [illegible]
villages of the Devanhalli
Taluk are now [illegible]
to be transferred to the
Bangalore & not to the
Bad Ballapur Taluk, as
originally ordered.

SS Submitted
Draft [illegible]
K
Rec 28/1/98

Office note Concluded
28/1/98

D. No. 980.

Enc: 3 & 2 Sketches
2 & 2 — do —

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 26th January 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No
No. 6677 / R. 2180 of the 20th January 1898, regarding
certain points connected with the transfer
of villages from one Taluk to another, I beg
to state that the seven villages of the Devana-
halli Taluk alluded to, are now proposed
to be transferred to the Bangalore Taluk,
as they all lie within the drainage
area of the Hesarghatta water supply.
They are in consequence entered in the
statement submitted showing the trans-
fers to the Bangalore Taluk. Originally
it had been proposed to transfer
them to the Doddaballapur Taluk.

2. The enclosures received with
the docket under reply are herewith
resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

[signature]

Dy. Commissioner.

Draft as forwarded

(15)

To

The Superintendent
of Revenue Survey
in Mysore.

Dated 25/2/98

No. 7945
R. 2614.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of your opinion, the marginally noted papers on the Subject of the re-adjustment of the Hoblies in the Bangalore T.

2. It will be seen from the Dy Commr's letter No 144 3/1 July 1897 that the proposed transfer to the Bangalore T. of Kayenguttha Heggana village from the Magadi T. of the villages of Kudlu, Kaggalipura Sandra and Kayenguttha Chadarandra from the Anekal Taluk, and of Bhairathi village from the Hoskote Taluk

1) One file of correspondence ending with letter No 930 3/26-1-98 from the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt
2) Statement marked A.
3) Do — do C.
4) Map — do B.
5) do — do D.
6) Letter No 231 3/17-8-97 from the Dy Commr with its encls

the ground that they are all situated in the midst of the villages of the Bangalore Taluk.

3. In order to locate all the villages connected with the Devanhalli Hobli within the jurisdiction of the Bangalore Taluk Amildar, it is further proposed to transfer to the Bangalore Taluk, 20 villages from the Doddaballapur, 17 from the Nelamangala and 7 from the Devanhalli Hobli. The accompanying map marked B shows how the Hoblies of the Bangalore Taluk as now proposed, would stand, ~~including all the other villages above referred to.~~

Enclosures

By order

for Secretary

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 6600
Pg. No. 970
MAR 0
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Gen. 30.]

189

16

From The Sight Mysore Rd Survey

The Chief Secretary to the Govt
Govt of Mysore.

Govt Mysore Rd Depatts

Dated March 1895

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No. 6

General No. 610
No.

In reply to Letter No 7225 of 25-:
R 12614

SUBJECT

Submitting opinion on the subject of the readjustment of the Taxes of the Bangalore Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Ref 12/3/95
Rem 12/3/95
11.3.95
SS. Entire
12/3. Ref Index
Attended to
18/3/95

N° 610

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 9th March 1898.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Gl and Rev. Depts.

Sir

I have the honor to ac-
knowledge the receipt of your letter
N° 7495 / R 2614 dated 25th February 1898 ask-
ing me for my opinion on the subject
of the readjustment of the Mahals of
the Bangalore Taluk.

2 The correspondence received
with your letter under reply contains
no convincing arguments that the
division transfers of villages to and fro,
between

between the Taluks of Bangalore, Chim-
=angal, Doddaballapur and Devanhalli
are really necessary. It is stated that
it is desirable to locate all the villages
connected with the Hesaraghatta tank
within the jurisdiction of the Bangalore
Taluk. The reasons for this "desideratum"
are not stated but I presume they are
considered to be such as to require elucida-
=tion

3 The transfer of the Kaimgatta village
of Heggenhalli from Magadi to
Bangalore Taluk is in the interests of
the existing maps and there can be
no question of the desirability of the
transfer. The villages of Kudlu, Raja-
-pandra and Kaimgatta Chandrandra
of the Anekal Taluk also Bharati
village of Yeshte Taluk are wrongly
described as situated in the midst
of the villages of the Bangalore Taluk,

as

value and utility. I would only urge that transfers of villages from one Taluk to another should only be made on the very strongest grounds.

5 When a village, like Keggenhalli in the present case, is absolutely surrounded by the villages of another Taluk its transfer is not only necessary but improves instead of impairing the Taluk map as other transfers do.

6 I beg to return the enclosures to your letter under reply.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Supt. Mysore Rev. Survey.

as a matter of fact, these villages only about on the Boundary of the Bangalore Taluk, irregularity no doubt but they are not like Kaimgutta Heggannahalli to which I have just alluded, entirely surrounded by villages of the Bangalore Taluk.

4. The large transfer of villages to the Bangalore Taluk.

23 villages from	Krishnagiri	as per
17 "	Chennagali	margin
7 "	Devanhalli.	as

stated at Para 3 of your letter under reply have I presume been duly considered by Government and decided to be necessary Under the circumstances it is not for me to raise any objection to the proposed arrangement. The Taluk maps which have been published at great expense, although of course not rendered quite useless will be greatly impaired in value